

Driven by your expertise.

CMAHC Technical Review Committee (TRC) Change Request Evaluation Form

CR #: Click here to enter text.		MAHC Section #: Click here to enter text. MAHC Code page #: Click here to enter text. MAHC Annex Page #: Click here to enter text.		
Submitter Name, Organization		Click here to enter text.		
TRC CR Champion		Click here to enter text.		
CR Type		☐ Clarification/Edit ☐ Updated Information ☐ Move Section ☐ Add/Delete Section ☐ New Section		
Document Posted for Voting		 □ Posted as Submitted □ Posted as Submitted, TRC Modifications Not Acceptable to Submitter □ Posted with Approved TRC/Submitter Modifications □ Posted with TRC Modification; Submitter did not respond within required timeframe (sent 1 email/week for 3 weeks) 		
TRC Recommendation		☐ Yes Vote ☐ No Vote ☐ Abstain		
Reasons for No Vote or Abstaining TRC Recommendation (Check all that apply)		□ Incomplete □ Revolution not Evolution □ Prohibitive Cost Impact □ Additional Research/Improvement Needed □ Negative Health Impact □ TRC Unable to Reach Consensus		
Explanatory Notes (If any)		Click here to enter text.		
Yes	No	Classas Daniel (CD) Daniel Criteria		
		Change Request (CR) Review Criteria		
		Is this CR complete?		
		Is this CR complete?		
		Is this CR complete? Is the CR editorial? If above question is "Yes," does it improve the clarity and		
		Is this CR complete? Is the CR editorial? If above question is "Yes," does it improve the clarity and interpretation of the MAHC?		
		Is this CR complete? Is the CR editorial? If above question is "Yes," does it improve the clarity and interpretation of the MAHC? Does it follow the CDC style sheet?		
		Is this CR complete? Is the CR editorial? If above question is "Yes," does it improve the clarity and interpretation of the MAHC? Does it follow the CDC style sheet? Is the CR science-based?		
		Is this CR complete? Is the CR editorial? If above question is "Yes," does it improve the clarity and interpretation of the MAHC? Does it follow the CDC style sheet? Is the CR science-based? Is the CR best practice-based?		

		Is supporting documentation (peer-reviewed, scientific rationale and/or data) provided to support CR?				
		Has supporting documentation been evaluated/reviewed?				
		Does the documentation support the CR?				
		Is the supporting documentation scientifically reputable and sound?				
		Has the CR been proposed/addressed previously (i.e., submitted during individual module public comment or knitted version public comment), or as a 2015 CR?				
		If yes, does CR provide additional/or different supporting documentation than previous public comment?				
Impact of Change						
		Will the change increase cost of construction or operation? Please quantify in notes.				
		Is there a positive public health impact?				
		Is there a negative public health impact?				
		Does this change request demonstrate "evolution" rather than "revolution"?				
CR SUMMARY REPORT						
CR #:		Click here to enter text.				
Suggested MAHC Change(s):		Click here to enter text.				
Does TRC Recommend Code/Annex Language Different from Original CR Submission?		□Yes □No	If Yes, Are Changes Approved by Submitting Author?	□Yes □No		
If Yes, TRC Recommended MAHC Language:		Click here to enter text.				
Review Process and Rationale (include ALL TRC viewpoints if consensus was not reached)						
TSC Consulted?		☐ Yes☐ No If Yes, TSC Name? Click here to enter text.				
Summary of Internal TRC and TSC (if used) Discussion:		Click here to enter text.				
Summary of CMAHC Member Comments:		Click here to enter text.				

Summary of the Pros/Cons and/or Benefits/Deficits of the CR and the Thought Process of the TRC Review of the CR:	Click here to enter text.
TRC Recommendation to Board of Directors:	Click here to enter text.
Recommended Future Action:	Click here to enter text.